

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/690,652	AUSCHRA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Callie E. Shosho.

(3) _____.

 (2) Joseph Suhadolnik.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 October 2006
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

20-21

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in ~~the Notice of Allowability~~ Part II above.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The examiner's amendment was agreed to and authorized by Mr. Suhadolnik.

The amendment to each of claim 20 and 21 was made to clarify the monomers utilized in polymer block B. Support for such amendment is found on page 8, lines 3-4 of the present specification.

Given the presence of substituent X in both formula (I) and formula (II) of present claim 20, the amendment to claim 20 was made to clarify which X was replaced with different polymer chain terminal group and to delete the recitation that the different polymer chain terminal group is represented by X' given that such polymer chain terminal group is referred to as X (not X') in formula I.

Further, the examiner amended the first page of the specification to update the continuity data.